The Dye-Sensitized Photooxygenation of N-Phenylpyrrole

David A. Lightner*, Duane I. Kirk and Robert D. Norris

Department of Chemistry, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89507

Received June 14, 1974

Although phenyl substituted pyrroles have been among the most completely studied in their dye-sensitized photo-oxidation reactions (1-3) there has been only one report on the photooxidation of N-phenylpyrrole (1) (4). Whereas the photooxidations of polyphenylpyrroles appear to give products rather unlike the usual products from alkylpyrroles (5,6), Franck and Auerbach's (4) reported product from N-phenylpyrrole could be predicted on the basis of De Mayo and Reid's (7) study of N-methylpyrrole. We have explored the photooxygenation of N-phenylpyrrole more fully and report here on the new products and reaction mechanisms.

When an oxygenated, dilute methanolic solution of N-phenylpyrrole (1) containing a small amount of rose bengal (singlet oxygen, 102, sensitizer) is photolyzed, three major products (2-4) are obtained. The hydroxylactam compound (2) was previously isolated by Franck and Auerbach (4) in 14% yield from photo-oxygenation in a pyridine-water-tetrahydrofuran solvent mixture or in about 7% yield in a chemically (H2O2-OCI) generated $^{1}0_{9}$ reaction. Our yield of **2** from photooxidation of **1** in methanol solvent is comparable to that obtained by Franck and Auerbach using aqueous solvent, and we believe that the majority of our product 2 arises via intramolecular rearrangement rather than reaction with water [Scheme 1], e.g., 2 arising in non-aqueous solvents is derived by internal reorganization of an endo-peroxide intermediate (5). This speculation is reinforced by the observation that N-methylpyrrole in chloroform or benzene saturated with ¹⁸0-water gives a hydroxylactam with no ¹⁸0 incorporation (8).

Evidence for endo-peroxide 5 and its subsequent reactions is provided by photooxygenation of an acetone- d_6 or Freon 11 solution of 1 at -78° and observation of the complete conversion of 1 to a new substance (5) which is observed in the nmr. It also is extremely interesting that 5 formed in acetone- d_6 or Freon-11 solvent at -78° upon warming to -20° slowly liverates O_2 and returns nearly quantitatively to 1 in the absence of a nucleophile. The oxygen liberated was shown to be $^{1}O_2$ in a trapping experiment by adding 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene to a solution

of 5 at -78° or -20° and observing the formation of the "ene" reaction product, 2,3-dimethyl-3-butene-1-hydroperoxide, by nmr (9). Even at -78° in methanol solvent, however, 5 is too rapidly transformed to 2, 3, 4 and other products and cannot be observed in the nmr. Addition of a nucleophile, e.g., water to 5 formed in acetone-d₆ or Freon-11 at -78° leads to formation of 2 and other products with no oxygen evolution.

As shown in Scheme I, we rationalize the formation of 2 and 3 principally by internal reorganization and methanolysis of endo-peroxide 5 respectively. We suggest that 4 arises from cleavage of dioxetane structure 6 [which itself may be formed by rearrangement of 5 or 1,2-addition of 10_2 to 1]. Cleavage of the pyrrole 2,3-bond(s) is unusual in their photooxygenation reactions and has previously been observed only when both positions were substituted with alkyl groups (5).

Scheme I

EXPERIMENTAL

Photooxygenation of N-Phenylpyrrole (1).

In a typical experiment, a solution prepared from 500 mg. (3.5 mmoles) of N-phenylpyrrole (Aldrich) and 16 mg. of Rose Bengal (Matheson) dissolved in 750 ml. of anhydrous methanol

was placed in a water-cooled immersion well photolysis apparatus (10) and photolyzed using a 500 Watt Sylvania tungsten-halogen quartz lamp No. Q/CL run at 80V. The photo-oxidation was allowed to proceed with monitoring of oxygen uptake until the rate of uptake slowed to ca zero and 70% of one mole equivalent was consumed. The reaction was complete in 11 minutes and the rate of oxygen uptake was 7.5 ml./minute. The methanol solvent was removed at 40° using a rotatory evaporator to yield 669 mg. of crude photoproducts. The crude mixture was partially separated by column chromatography on silica gel [M. Woelm, Eschwege, 70-325 mesh ASTM] using ethyl acetate cluent and further purified by preparative thin layer chromatography [Silica Gel F. M. Woelm, Eschwege, I mm, ethyl acetate or ether] to give the following photoproducts:

5-Hydroxy-N-phenyl-3-pyrrolin-2-one (2).

 R_f 0.64 (ethyl acetate), 109 mg., 18% isolated yield; nmr (11) (acetone-d₆): δ 2.87 (br s, 1H, OH), 6.12 (s, 1H, CH-O), 6.19 (d, 1H, J = 5 Hz, CH-C+O), 7.15 (d, 1H, J = 5 Hz, CH=C-O), 7.5 (m, 5H, aromatic) ppm; mass spectrum (11), m/e (relative intensity): 175[M[†]] (40%), 158 [M-OH] (2%), 121 (52%), 93 (100%), 77 [C₆H₅] (34%); ir (11) (potassium bromide): 1655 cm⁻¹ [lit. (4) 1705 (chloroform)].

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{10}H_9NO_2$: 175.0633. Found: 175.0640. 5-Methoxy-N-phenyl-3-pyrrolin-2-one (3).

 $R_{\rm f}$ 0.75 (ethyl acetate), 148 mg., 22% isolated yield; nmr (deuteriochloroform): δ 3.11 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 6.00 (s, 1H, CH-O), 6.35 (d, 1H, J = 6 Hz, =CH-C=O), 6.98 (d, 1H, J = 6 Hz, CH=C-C=O), 7.45 (m, 5H, aromatic) ppm; mass spectrum, m/e (relative intensity): 189 [M $^{+}$] (81%), 174 [M-CH₃] (25%), 158 [M-OCH₃] (100%), 130 (30%), 93 (8%), 77 [C₆H₅] (55%); ir (potassium bromide): 1685 cm $^{-1}$.

Anal. Calcd. for C H NO: 189.0790. Found: 189.0754. Methyl & (N-Phenyl-N-formylamino)acrylate (4).

 R_f 0.80 (ethyl acetate), 117 mg., 16% isolated yield; nmr (deuteriochloroform): δ 3.85 (s, 3H, -COOCH_3), 6.20 (d, 1H, J = 6.5 Hz, =CH-COOCH_3), 6.45 (d, 1H, J = 6.5 Hz, N-CH=), 6.84 (s, 1H, N-CHO), 7.4 (m, 5H, aromatic); mass spectrum, m/e (relative intensity): 205 [M $^+$] (24%), 190 [M-CH_3] (10%), 174 [M-OCH_3] (21%), 173 [M-HOCH_3] (82%), 160 (18%), 146 [M-COOCH_3] (29%), 129 (24%), 113 (78%), 93 (100%), 77 [C_6H_5] (35%); ir (potassium bromide): 1690 cm $^{-1}$, shoulder 1660 cm $^{-1}$.

Anal. Caled. for $C_{11}H_{11}NO_3$: 205.0729. Found: 205.0739. N-Phenylpyrrole Endo-peroxide (5).

N-Phenylpyrrole (2 mg., 0.01 mmole) in an nmr tube was dissolved in about 0.3 ml. of either acetone-d₆, Freon-11 with 10% acetone-d₆ or methanol-O-d and cooled to -78°. The singlet oxygen sensitizers used in each solvent were: methylene blue in acetone-d₆, 1,8-dinaphthalene-thiophene in Freon-11, and rose bengal in methanol-O-d. A slow stream of oxygen was passed through each solution during irradiation [Sylvania Tungstenhalogen lamp, Q/CL, 500 Watt, 80-100 volts] of the solutions which were cooled in a half-silvered Dewar. Irradiated samples were quickly transferred to the pre-cooled probe of the nmr (Varian XL-100). At -78° a complete conversion of 1 to its endoperoxide (5) could be observed in acetone-d₆ or Freon-11, but not in methanol O-d. In methanol O-d at -78°, 2, 3, 4 and other

products were observed; nmr (acetone-d₆, -78°): 1, δ 6.27 (2H, C-CH-C), 7.22 (2H, C-CH-N), 7.3-7.5 (5H, C₆H₅) ppm; 5, δ 6.79 (2H, C-CH-C), 6.98 (C=CH-N), 7.2-7.4 (5H, C₆H₅) ppm; nmr (Freon-11 + 10% acetone-d₆, -78°): 1, δ 6.21 (2H, C-CH-C), 7.22 (2H, C-CH-N), 7.4 (5H, C₆H₅) ppm; 5, δ 6.58 (2H, C-CH=C), 6.70 (2H, C-CH-N), 6.9-7.3 (5H, C₆H₅) ppm.

Singlet Oxygen Trapping from 5.

N-Phenylpyrrole (10 mg., 0.07 mmole) was irradiated as before in acetone-d₆ at -78°. After complete conversion of **1** to **5**, a 2-7 μ \$ sample of 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene was added. Four separate experiments were carried out:

- (i) The mixture was warmed gradually by pulling the tube out of the dewar and re-emersing it as soon as bubbles appeared.
- (ii) The sample was placed in a scaled tube and placed in an ice methanol bath for 2 Hours and then allowed to warm to room temperature.
 - (iii) The solution was kept overnight in an ice methanol bath.
- (iv) The solution was kept at -78° for 48 hours at which time it was allowed to warm to room temperature. Only a few bubbles were observed on warming.

Only experiments (i) and (iv) worked well enough to detect a 1-2% conversion of 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene to 2,3-dimethyl-3-butene-1-hydroperoxide (12); nmr (acetone-d₆, -78°): δ 1.29 (s, 6H, -C(O) (CH $_3$)₂), 1.77 (s, 3H, -C-CH₃), 4.80 (m, 1H, -CH), 4.89 (m, 1H, -CH) ppm.

Acknowledgement.

We thank the National Science Foundation (GP-44006) and the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, USPHS (HD09026) for generous support of this research.

REFERENCES

- (1) J. Martel, Compt. Rend., 244, 626 (1957).
- (2) H. H. Wasserman and A. Liberles, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 2086 (1960).
- (3) For leading references see G. Rio and D. Masure, *Bull, Soc. Chim. France*, 4610 (1972).
- (4) R. W. Franck and J. Auerbach, J. Org. Chem., 36, 31 (1971).
- (5) D. A. Lightner and G. B. Quistad, Angew. Chem., 84, 216 (1972).
- (6) D. A. Lightner, R. D. Norris, D. I. Kirk and R. M. Key, *Experientia*, **30**, 587 (1974).
- (7) P. De Mayo and S. T. Reid, Chem. Ind. (London), 1576 (1962).
 - (8) R. D. Norris, unpublished observations.
- (9) 2,5-Dimethylfuran endo-peroxide was shown to release singlet oxygen by trapping with 2-methyl-2-pentene. H. R. Kane, Ph.D. Dissertation. Univ. of California, Los Angeles (1972).
- (10) C. S. Foote and G. Uhde in *Organic Photochemical Syntheses*, Vol. 1, 70 (1971), R. Srinivasan and T. D. Roberts, Eds.
- (11) All nmr spectra were determined on a Varian A-60 or XL-100 instrument, all mass spectra were run on a Varian MAT 3H mass spectrometer, and all ir spectra were measured on a Perkin-Elmer model 457 spectrometer.
- (12) C. S. Foote, S. Wexler, W. Ando and R. Higgins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 975 (1968).